AD-A197 360

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N00014-84-G-0201

Task No. 0051-865

Technical Report #21

Exciplex Formation Between Silver Ions and the Lowest MLCT Excited
State of the Tris(Bipyrazine)Ruthenium(II) Cation

By

A.B.P. Lever*, Penny Seymour and Pamela Auburn

in

Inorganica Chimica Acta

York University
Department of Chemistry, 4700 Keele St., North York
Ontario, Canada   M3J 1P3

88   8

AD A197360

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	1b RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY Unclassified	3 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT As it appears on the report
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	

4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) Report #21	5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)

6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION A.B.P. Lever, York University Chemistry Department	6b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 4700 Keele St., North York, Ontario M3J 1P3 Canada		7b ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Chemistry Division 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217 U.S.A.

8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER N00014-84-G-0201

8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS			
	PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO

11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)
Exciplex Formation Between Silver Ions and the Lowest MLCT Excited State of the
Tris(Bipyrazine)-ruthenium(II) Cation.

12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)
A.B.P. Lever*, Penny Seymour and Pamela Auburn

13a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical	13b. TIME COVERED FROM Aug.87 TO Aug.88	14 DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) July 11, 1988	15. PAGE COUNT 17

16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION

17	COSATI CODES		18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	Excited State / Exciplex / Luminescence / Silver/Ruthenium compounds (n,n̄)

19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)

The lowest excited MLCT state of the $[Ru(bpz)3]_{L}^{2+}$ cation, $\underline{1}$. is shown to react
with silver ion in aqueous or acetonitrile solution to form exciplexes with up
to six silver ions per excited cation. Lifetime. wavelength data are
presented as a function of the [Ag]/[Ru] ratio. An excited state (reductive
quenching) potential of 1.55V is derived from quenching by a range of
methoxybenzenes. Data for quenching by metal ions are also presented. There
is no ground state interaction between $\underline{1}$ and silver ions.

20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☒ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT ☐ DTIC USERS	21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified/unlimited
22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Dr. Robert K. Grasselli	22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) | 22c OFFICE SYMBOL

DD FORM 1473, 34 MAR 83 APR edition may be used until exhausted
All other editions are obsolete

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

	No. Copies		No. Copies
Office of Naval Research Attn: Code 1113 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217-5000	2	Dr. David Young Code 334 NORDA NSTL, Mississippi 39529	1
Dr. Bernard Douda Naval Weapons Support Center Code 50C Crane, Indiana 47522-5050	1	Naval Weapons Center Attn: Dr. Ron Atkins Chemistry Division China Lake, California 93555	1
Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko, Code L52 Port Hueneme, California 93401	1	Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps Code RD-1 Washington, D.C. 20380	1
Defense Technical Information Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12 high quality	U.S. Army Research Office Attn: CRD-AA-IP P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709	1
DTNSRDC Attn: Dr. H. Singerman Applied Chemistry Division Annapolis, Maryland 21401	1	Mr. John Boyle Materials Branch Naval Ship Engineering Center Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112	1
Dr. William Tolles Superintendent Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375-5000	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto Marine Sciences Division San Diego, California 91232	1

DTIC
COPY
INSPECTED
6

Accesion For

NTIS CRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	

By
Distribution/

Availability Codes

| | Avail and/or |
| Dist | Special |

A-1

ABSTRACTS DISTRIBUTION LIST,359/627

Dr. Manfred Breiter
Institut fur Technische Elektrochemie
Technischen Universitat Wien
9 Getreidemarkt, 1160 Wien
AUSTRIA

Dr. E. Yeager
Department of Chemistry
Case Western Reserve University
Cleveland, Ohio 44106

Dr. R. Sutula
The Electrochemistry Branch
Naval Surface Weapons Center
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

Dr. R. A. Marcus
Department of Chemistry
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California 91125

Dr. J. J. Auborn
AT&T Bell Laboratories
600 Mountain Avenue
Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974

Dr. M. S. Wrighton
Chemistry Department
Massachusetts Institute
  of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Dr. B. Stanley Pons
Department of Chemistry
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

Dr. Bernard Spielvogel
U.S. Army Research Office
P.O. Box 12211
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Dr. Mel Miles
Code 3852
Naval Weapons Center
China Lake, California 93555

Dr. P. P. Schmidt
Department of Chemistry
Oakland University
Rochester, Michigan 48063

Dr. Roger Belt
Litton Industries Inc.
Airtron Division
Morris Plains, NJ 07950

Dr. Ulrich Stimming
Department of Chemical Engineering
Columbia University
New York, NY 10027 .

Dr. Royce W. Murray
Department of Chemistry
University of North Carolina
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514

Dr. Michael J. Weaver
Department of Chemistry
Purdue University
West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

Dr. R. David Rauh
EIC Laboratories, Inc.
Norwood, Massachusetts 02062

Dr. Edward M. Eyring
Department of Chemistry
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

Dr. M. M. Nicholson
Electronics Research Center
Rockwell International
3370 Miraloma Avenue
Anaheim, California

Dr. Nathan Lewis
Department of Chemistry
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

Dr. Hector D. Abruna
Department of Chemistry
Cornell University
Ithaca, New York 14853

Dr. A. B. P. Lever
Chemistry Department
York University
Downsview, Ontario M3J 1P3

ABSTRACTS DISTRIBUTION LIST, 359/627

Dr. Martin Fleischmann
Department of Chemistry
University of Southampton
Southampton SO9 5H UNITED KINGDOM

Dr. John Wilkes
Department of the Air Force
United States Air Force Academy
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80840-6528

Dr. R. A. Osteryoung
Department of Chemistry
State University of New York
Buffalo, New York 14214

Dr. Janet Osteryoung
Department of Chemistry
State University of New York
Buffalo, New York 14214

Dr. A. J. Bard
Department of Chemistry
University of Texas
Austin, Texas 78712

Dr. Steven Greenbaum
Department of Physics and Astronomy
Hunter College
695 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10021

Dr. Donald Sandstrom
Boeing Aerospace Co.
P.O. Box 3999
Seattle, Washington 98124

Mr. James R. Moden
Naval Underwater Systems Center
Code 3632
Newport, Rhode Island 02840

Dr. D. Rolison
Naval Research Laboratory
Code 6171
Washington, D.C. 20375-5000

Dr. D. F. Shriver
Department of Chemistry
Northwestern University
Evanston, Illinois 60201

Dr. Alan Bewick
Department of Chemistry
The University of Southampton
Southampton, SO9 5NH UNITED KINGDOM

Dr. Edward Fletcher
Department of Mechanical Engineering
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

Dr. Bruce Dunn
Department of Engineering &
    Applied Science
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

Dr. Elton Cairns
Energy & Environment Division
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

Dr. Richard Pollard
Department of Chemical Engineering
University of Houston
Houston, Texas 77004

Dr. M. Philpott
IBM Research Division
Mail Stop K 33/801
San Jose, California 95130-6099

Dr. Martha Greenblatt
Department of Chemistry, P.O. Box 939
Rutgers University
Piscataway, New Jersey 08855-0939

Dr. Anthony Sammells
Eltron Research Inc.
4260 Westbrook Drive, Suite 111
Aurora, Illinois 60505

Dr. C. A. Angell
Department of Chemistry
Purdue University
West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

Dr. Thomas Davis
Polymers Division
National Bureau of Standards
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899

ABSTRACTS DISTRIBUTION LIST, 359/627

Dr. Stanislaw Szpak
Naval Ocean Systems Center
Code 633, Bayside
San Diego, California 95152

Dr. Gregory Farrington
Department of Materials Science
and Engineering
University of Pennsylvania
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

Dr. John Fontanella
Department of Physics
U.S. Naval Academy
Annapolis, Maryland 21402-5062

Dr. Micha Tomkiewicz
Department of Physics
Brooklyn College
Brooklyn, New York 11210

Dr. Lesser Blum
Department of Physics
University of Puerto Rico
Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico 00931

Dr. Joseph Gordon, II
IBM Corporation
5600 Cottle Road
San Jose, California 95193

Dr. Joel Harris
Department of Chemistry
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

Dr. J. O. Thomas
University of Uppsala
Institute of Chemistry
Box 531 Baltimore, Maryland 21218
S-751 21 Uppsala, Sweden

Dr. John Owen
Department of Chemistry and
  Applied Chemistry
University of Salford
Salford M5 4WT UNITED KINGDOM

Dr. O. Stafsudd
Department of Electrical Engineering
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

Dr. Boone Owens
Department of Chemical Engineering
  and Materials Science
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

Dr. Johann A. Joebstl
USA Mobility Equipment R&D Command
DRDME-EC
Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060

Dr. Albert R. Landgrebe
U.S. Department of Energy
M.S. 6B025 Forrestal Building
Washington, D.C. 20595

Dr. J. J. Brophy
Department of Physics
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

Dr. Charles Martin
Department of Chemistry
Texas A&M University
College Station, Texas 77843

Dr. Milos Novotny
Department of Chemistry
Indiana University
Bloomington, Indiana 47405

Dr. Mark A. McHugh
Department of Chemical Engineering
The Johns Hopkins University
Baltimore, Maryland 21218

Dr. D. E. Irish
Department of Chemistry
University of Waterloo
Waterloo, Ontario, Canada
N21 3G1

ABSTRACTS DISTRIBUTION LIST, 359/627

Dr. Henry S. White
Department of Chemical Engineering
  and Materials Science
151 Amundson Hall
421 Washington Avenue, S.E.
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

Dr. Daniel A. Buttry
Department of Chemistry
University of Wyoming
Laramie, Wyoming 82071

Dr. W. R. Fawcett
Department of Chemistry
University of California
Davis, California 95616

Dr. Peter M. Blonsky
Eveready Battery Company, Inc.
25225 Detroit Road, P.O. Box 45035
Westlake, Ohio 44145

ABSTRACTS DISTRIBUTION LIST, 051A

Dr. M. A. El-Sayed
Department of Chemistry
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

Dr. E. R. Bernstein
Department of Chemistry
Colorado State University
Fort Collins, Colorado 80521

Dr. J. R. MacDonald
Chemistry Division
Naval Research Laboratory
Code 6110
Washington, D.C. 20375-5000

Dr. G. B. Schuster
Chemistry Department
University of Illinois
Urbana, Illinois 61801

Dr. J. B. Halpern
Department of Chemistry
Howard University
Washington, D.C. 20059

Dr. M. S. Wrighton
Department of Chemistry
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Dr. W. E. Moerner
I.B.M. Corporation
Almaden Research Center
650 Harry Rd.
San Jose, California 95120-6099

Dr. A. B. P. Lever
Department of Chemistry
York University
Downsview, Ontario
CANADA M3J1P3

Dr. George E. Walrafen
Department of Chemistry
Howard University
Washington, D.C. 20059

Dr. Joe Brandelik
AFWAL/AADO-1
Wright Patterson AFB
Fairborn, Ohio 45433

Dr. Carmen Ortiz
Consejo Superior de
Investigaciones Cientificas
Serrano 121
Madrid 6, SPAIN

Dr. Kent R. Wilson
Chemistry Department
University of California
La Jolla, California 92093

Dr. G. A. Crosby
Chemistry Department
Washington State University
Pullman, Washington 99164

Dr. Theodore Pavlopoulos
NOSC
Code 521
San Diego, California 91232

Dr. John Cooper
Code 6173
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D.C. 20375-5000

Dr. Joseph H. Boyer
Department of Chemistry
University of New Orleans
New Orleans, Louisiana 70148

Dr. Harry D. Gafney
Department of Chemistry
Queens College of CUNY
Flushing, New York 11367-0904

Exciplex Formation Between Silver Ions and the Lowest MLCT Excited State of the Tris(Bipyrazine)Ruthenium(II) Cation

by A.B.P.Lever,* Penny Seymour and Pamela R.Auburn

Dept. of Chemistry, York University, North York (Toronto), Ontario, Canada M3J 1P3

Abstract

The lowest excited MLCT state of the $[Ru(bpz)_3]^{2+}$ cation, **1**, is shown to react with silver ion in aqueous or acetonitrile solution to form exciplexes with up to six silver ions per excited cation. Lifetime, wavelength data are presented as a function of the [Ag]/[Ru] ratio. An excited state (reductive quenching) potential of 1.55V is derived from quenching by a range of methoxybenzenes. Data for quenching by metal ions are also presented. There is no ground state interaction between **1** and silver ions.

The $[Ru(bpz)_3]^{2+}$ ion (**1**) (bpz = 2,2'-bipyrazine) has been the subject of extensive investigation [1-18] because of its utility as a photo- catalyst and its complementarity to the $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ ion (**2**) (bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine)[19]. A characteristic of **1**, not shared by **2**, is the presence of six peripheral nitrogen atoms each with a lone pair of electrons capable of donation to a Lewis acid [20]. Thus, ground state

1, and related bipyrazine complexes, have been observed to coordinate with protons [14,20], pentacyanoferrate(II),[5], boron trifluoride [20], and pentammineruthenium(II)/(III) [21]. During a recent study of the luminescence quenching of 1, it was observed that silver ions did not quench the lowest excited metal to ligand charge transfer (MLCT) transition while many organic quenchers and other metal ions were effective [8]. By analogy with the quenching behaviour of 2 [19], this was an unexpected result. However Kobayashi and co-workers have briefly reported reaction of silver ions with the peripheral nitrogen atoms of the [Ru(bpy)2(bpz)]$^{2+}$ cation [22]. A ruthenium tris(bipyrazine) excited state cation with six silver atoms bound to the peripheral nitrogen atoms would possess a formal charge of 8+ and would thereby be expected to be a much stronger excited state oxidant than 1 itself, and conceivably strong enough to oxidise water directly, providing a good rationale for the study.

Experimental

Materials: Ru(bpz)3(PF6)2 was synthesized and purified as previously described [17]. AgNO3, KNO3, Cu(NO3)2.6H2O and Ni(NO3)2.6H2O were analytical grade. A loan of RuCl3.3H2O from Johnson Matthey is gratefully acknowledged. The series of methoxybenzenes was used without further purification. Aqueous solutions were prepared with water which had been doubly distilled from KMnO4 and passed through both mixed bed and organic water purification cartridges (Barnstead). Acetonitrile was Aldrich Gold Label. Tetrabutylammonium perchlorate (Eastman) (TBAP) was recrystallized from ethanol and vacuum dried.

Luminescent emission measurements: Emission spectra were recorded with a Varian SF-330 spectrofluorimeter.   The exciting wavelength was 423 nm. In both solvent systems, the concentration of $[Ru(bpz)_3]^{2+}$ was about 1.6 x 10^{-5}M. Solutions were prepared in 0.1M KNO_3 or 0.01M TBAP in CH_3CN to maintain constant ionic strength. In both systems, the PF_6^- salt of the ruthenium complex was used to eliminate possible formation of AgCl.

The methodology of obtaining the luminescence measurements was the same as reported previously [8], and emission intensities were corrected for the inner filter effect in the same manner as before. Quencher concentrations   were   in   the   range   of   0.01M - 0.1M for the methoxybenzenes, and 0.01M - 0.5M for the inorganic metal salts.

Lifetime measurements were carried out using a York University constructed 0.5MW pulsed nitrogen laser and a Princeton Applied Research (PARC) Model 162 boxcar averager with Model 165 and 166 gated integrators.   Data were plotted on a X-Y recorder and lifetimes calculated by manual transfer of the data to an appropriate computer program. All solutions were nitrogen outgassed before study.

Other measurements: Absorption spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Hitachi Model 340 microprocessor spectrometer. ^{1}H NMR spectra were recorded in D_2O with a Bruker Aspect 300 spectrometer. Chemical shifts and scale are reported downfield from DSS. FTIR spectra were recorded as KBr discs using a Nicolet SX20 spectrometer.

Results

i) <u>Ground state studies;</u> The addition of silver ion, as AgClO4 or AgNO3, to aqueous solutions of <u>1</u> in 0-1500:1 molar ratio, and in the presence of 0.1M or 0.01M KNO3 causes no change in the electronic absorption (visible or ultra-violet region) spectrum of <u>1</u>. Moreover the ^{1}H NMR spectrum of <u>1</u> in water is unperturbed by the addition of excess silver ion. In another experiment, [Ru(bpz)3](ClO4)2 and excess AgClO4 were heated in water for 3 hr and then evaporated to dryness. The FTIR spectrum of the resulting solid was indistinguishable from that of a physical mixture of the reactants.

ii) <u>Excited state studies</u>; a) **Wavelength dependence:-** When excited at 450 nm into the allowed lowest singlet-singlet MLCT transition, complex <u>1</u> emits near 600 nm from the [3]MLCT state (1*) associated with the $Ru(t_{2g})^6$ ------> $\pi^*(1)$ (bpz) transition (Fig.1) [17]. The wavelength of this emission depends upon added silver ion, shifting to the red (in aqueous or acetonitrile solution) (Fig.2) as the [Ag]/[Ru] molar ratio increases. The emission wavelength approaches a limiting value at molar ratios exceeding 10000:1. The shift is most dramatic at ratios of 100-1000, with no shift within experimental error below 100:1 ratio. The shift, in water, is about 2nm larger in 0.1M KNO3 than in 0.01M KNO3.

b) **Lifetime measurements:-** The lifetimes (Fig.3) increase in acetonitrile and decrease in water with increasing [Ag]/[Ru] ratio.. The following empirical relationships pertain between lifetime (τ), in ns, and the [Ag]/[Ru] molar ratio:-

(CH3CN/0.01M TBAP) τ = 900 + 0.03 [Ag]/[Ru]   (R = 0.985)

(Water/0.1M KNO3) τ = 1060 - 0.013 [Ag]/[Ru]   (R = 0.947)   (1)

   A linear function is used for convenience to enable calculation of lifetimes at differing [Ag]/[Ru] ratio. However the correlation

coefficients are not so good as to exclude a more complex dependence.

iii) Quenching studies:; The quenching of 1* with various organic acceptors and donors in acetonitrile, and with metal ions in water, has been previously reported [8]. These studies are extended here through re-investigation in the presence of varying amounts of Ag$^+$ ion. In Fig.4, and Table 1, are shown Stern-Volmer slopes [24], Ksv, for a variety of organic donors versus the [Ag]/[Ru] ratio, and the $E(Q^+/Q)$ potential. Quenching data for various metal ions in aqueous solution are also presented in Table 1. NB All the above data are collected in nitrogen outgassed solutions. Oxygen is a fairly effective quencher.

Discussion

The uv/vis/ir and especially nmr data show no detectable interaction between the ground state of 1 and silver ions under the conditions explored. The shift in wavelength of the emission from 1*, and the increase in lifetime observed in acetonitrile solution show conclusively the interaction of silver ions with the lowest MLCT state of complex 1, i.e. exciplexes are formed. The variation in lifetime and quenching Ksv values with [Ag]/[Ru] ratio provides evidence for a series of 1(Ag$_n$)* exciplexes, apparently in equilibrium with each other and with the silver ion. The possibility that the shift in peak emission wavelength maxima occurs through solvent dielectric changes is reduced by the use of constant ionic strength solutions, at least at lower [Ag]/[Ru] ratios. At high [Ag]/[Ru] ratios, the silver ion concentration exceeds that of TBAP and may therefore introduce solvent dielectric effects. However at these high [Ag]/[Ru] ratios, the increase in emission wavelength has essentially leveled off. To increase the TBAP concentration is not practical due to precipitation of (1).

It does not appear possible from the data available to determine the values of n. The simplest explanation is that one silver atom is bound readily in the [Ag]/[Ru] = 100 - 2000:1 range, and that a second binds with difficulty in the 2000 - 10000:1 range. However the data do not distinguish an alternative explanation, namely, that in a manner similar to protonation [14], three silver atoms bind in the lower [Ag]/[Ru] range one on each bipyrazine ring, and that 4-6 silver atoms bind in the higher [Ag]/[Ru] range. Given the increased shifts in emission wavelength for given [Ag]/[Ru], with increasing ionic strength, multiple silver ion binding is inferred. For the purposes of discussion, therefore, the latter possibility will be assumed.

The red shift in the emission maximum reflects the Lewis acidity of the Ag^+ ions which stabilise slightly the π^* levels involved in the MLCT transition. Note that this red shift is in contrast to the unexpected blue shift observed when silver ions bind the peripheral nitrogen atoms of the $[Ru(bpy)_2(bpz)]^{2+}$ cation [24]. The extended lifetime of the silver bound excited states in acetonitrile solution may reflect reduced solvent collisional deactivation of the excited state whose wave function may not extend significantly over the silver ions.

The ^{3}MLCT excited 1* cation is an excellent oxidising agent with a potential of +1.45V for the couple $[Ru(bpz)_3^{2+*}]/[Ru(bpz)_3^+]$, being about 0.7V more positive than for the corresponding couple for 2* [8]. The excited state potential of the species $[Ru(bpz)_3Ag_n]^{2+n*}$ can be determined via quenching studies (restricted by the requirement that the quencher does not react with silver ion, eliminating, for example, quenching by aromatic amines and nitro derivatives).

The (reductive) quenching data for a range of alkoxybenzenes do indeed reflect the increased oxidising ability of the 1(Ag$_n$)* system

when compared to 1* in the absence of silver (Table 1). The Ksv   values increase with decreasing E(Q+/Q) and increasing [Ag]/[Ru] ratio (Fig.4, Table 1). However we note that if the Ksv values are corrected for the variation in lifetime (via equation (1)), then the resulting kq values (Table 1) tend to be independent of the [Ag]/[Ru] ratio above about 2000:1.

Applying the Rehm-Weller theory [2ʒ] to the data as discussed previously [8], and assuming that the encounter volume and diffusion rate constants remain unchanged (a pragmatic assumption given the rather limited accuracy of this type of experiment) the data (for high [Ag]/[Ru] ratio) may be fitted with an excited state reduction potential of about 1.55V, or about 100mV more positive than for 1* alone.   The data are not good enough to delineate any special dependence upon n. Given that there is also a shift of about 100mV to the red, in the emission energy at high [Ag]/[Ru] ratio, the silver ions and the increased positive charge they impose, have actually increased the oxidising power of 1* by about 200mV, half of which is wasted.

Table 1 also includes data for quenching by metal ions in aqueous solution.   Indeed the species may be a better oxidising agent in aqueous solution than in acetonitrile wherein the (formal) 8+ charge is probably largely vitiated by ion pairing. However, in contrast to the acetonitrile data, the trends in the metal quenching data are for a reduction of Ksv, and kq, with increasing [Ag]/[Ru] irrespective of whether the metal ion is a reductive quencher such as Fe^{2+} or an oxidative quencher such as [Co(NH$_3$)$_5$Cl]$^{2+}$, or perhaps Cu^{2+}. This trend is seen most readily in the Cu^{2+} and Ni^{2+} data shown in Table 1.

The dominant factor in this aqueous metal ion quenching is the increasing positive charge of the excited state species, with increasing

[Ag]/[Ru], causing repulsion of these positively charged quenchers. Indeed this may be evidence that the number of silver ions bound to $\underline{1}^*$ does increase with increasing [Ag]/[Ru]. Note further that K_{SV} does increase with increasing ionic strength for a given [Ag]/[Ru] ratio.

Conclusions: The $[Ru(bpz)_3(Ag_n)]^{(2+n)+*}$ exciplexes are photocatalysts which should prove valuable for neutral or negatively charged quenchers which can tolerate excess silver ion. They also represent an interesting avenue for tuning the excited state potential of the $[Ru(bpz)_3]^{2+}$ photocatalyst.

Legends

Fig.1   Absorption and emission spectra of the $[Ru(bpz)_3]^{2+}$ cation in the absence of silver ion, and in the presence of silver nitrate at a molar ratio of [Ag]/[Ru] = 10000:1. The solution also contains 0.1M KNO_3.

Fig.2   Emission wavelength as a function of [Ag]/[Ru].
Lower data set (squares): Acetonitrile containing 0.01M TBAP. Upper data set (+): Water containing 0.1M KNO_3. Note the entry for 0 lies at 600nm. The slit width was 2nm, and the excitation wavelength 423nm.

Fig.3   Lifetime dependence as a function of [Ag]/[Ru].
a) Acetonitrile containing 0.01M TBAP. b) Water containing 0.1M KNO_3. Although a straight line is shown through these data, the correlation is not necessarily linear. Excitation wavelength 423nm.

Fig.4   Stern-Volmer slopes, Ksv as a function of quencher potential and [Ag]/[Ru] in acetonitrile (with 0.01M TBAP). The data are collected from a series of methoxybenzenes as listed in Table 1. From left to right on each line the [Ag]/[Ru] ratios are 0, 1000, 5000 and 10000.

References

1. Gex, J. N.; DeArmond, M. K.; Hanck, K. W. J. Phys. Chem. 1987, 91, 251-4.

2. Venturi, M.; Mulazzani, Q. G.; Ciano, M.; Hoffman, M. Z. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 4493-8.

3. Kalyanasundaram, K. J. Phys. Chem. 1986, 90, 2285-7.

4. Prasad, D. R.; Hoffman, M. Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 2568-73.

5. Toma, H. E.; Lever, A. B. P. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 176-81.

6. Prasad, D. R.; Hessler, D.; Hoffman, M. Z.; Serpone, N. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1985, 121, 61-4.

7. Kawanishi, Y.; Kitamura, N.; Kim, Y.; Tazuke, S. Sci. Pap. Inst. Phys. Chem. Res. (Jpn.), 1984, 78, 212-19.

8. Haga, M. A.; Dodsworth, E. S.; Eryavec, G.; Seymour, P.; Lever, A. B. P. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 1901-6.

9. Ghosh, P. K.; Bard, A. J. J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem. 1984, 169, 113-28.

10. Allen, G. H.; White, R. P.; Rillema, D. P.; Meyer, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 2613-20.

11. Crutchley, R. J.; Lever, A. B. P.; Poggi, A. Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 2647-50.

12. Rillema, D. P.; Allen, G.; Meyer, T. J.; Conrad, D. Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 1617-22.

13. Gonzales-Velasco, J.; Rubinstein, I.; Crutchley, R. J.; Lever, A. B. P.; Bard, Allen J. Inorg. Chem. 1983 22, 822-5.

14. Crutchley, R. J.; Kress, N.; Lever, A. B. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 1170-8.

15. Crutchley, R. J.; Lever, A. B. P. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 2276-82.

16. Balk, R. W.; Stufkens, D. J.; Crutchley, R. J.; Lever, A. B. P. Inorg.

Chim. Acta,, 1982, 64, L49-L50.

17. Crutchley, R.J.; Lever, A.B.P. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 7128.

18. Gillard, R. D.; Knight, D. W.; Williams, P. A. Transition Met. Chem. (Weinheim, Ger.), 1979, 4, 375-8.

19. Kalyanasundaran, K. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1982, 46, 159.

20. Dodsworth, E. S.; Lever, A. B. P.; Eryavec, G.; Crutchley, R. J. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 1906-11.

21. Toma, H.; Auburn, P. R.; Dodsworth, E. S.; Lever, A. B. P. Inorg. Chem., 1987, 26, 0000.

22. Kobayashi,H.; Kaizu,Y.; Shinozaki, K. Proc. Biennial Inorganic Chemical Symposium, York University, Toronto, June 1985, Abs.11.

23. The Stern-Volmer slope is obtained from a plot of intensity of emission (I), as a function of quencher concentration [Q], with respect to the unquenched emission intensity I_o via:

$$I_o/I = 1 + K_{SV}[Q] = 1 + \gamma_o k_q [Q]$$

where γ_o is the lifetime of the excited state and k_q is the quenching rate constant.

24. Kobayashi, H. private communication.

25. Rehm, D.; Weller, A. Isr. J. Chem., 1970, 8, 259.

Table 1 Quenching Data in Acetonitrile and Water

Part I. Acetonitrile/0.01M TBAP[a]

[Ag]/[Ru]	124tmb	14dmb	12dmb	135tmb
10000	3625	1600	460	65
5000	3625	1400	370	60
1000	2860	1150	275	45
0	2145	635	125	20
$E(Q^+/Q)$[b]	1.12	1.34	1.45	1.49

a) 12dmb = 1,2-dimethoxybenzene; 14dmb = 1,4-dimethoxybenzene; 124tmb = 1,2,4-trimethoxybenzene; 135tmb = 1,3,5- trimethoxybenzene.

b) data in acetonitrile vs sce from A. Zweig, H.G. Hodgson, W.H.Jura. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1964, 86, 4124.

Part II. Aqueous solution

Quencher	[KNO₃]	[Ag]/[Ru]	Ksv	$k_q{}^c \times 10^8$
$Fe^{2+}{}_{aq}$	1M	25000	540±80	7.3
		0		6[d]
$Co^{2+}{}_{aq}$	1M	10000	7	0.07
		1000	6±0.2	0.06
		0	17	0.16
$[Co(NH_3)_5Cl]^{2+}$	1M	10000	642	7
		0	620	5.8 (5.7)[d]
$Mn^{2+}{}_{aq}$	0.6M	12500	12±0.3[e]	0.13
$Cu^{2+}{}_{aq}$	1M	1000	486±1	4.6
		0	612±45	5.8 (5)[d]
	0.1M	3400	264±4	2.6
		0	330	3.1
$Ni^{2+}{}_{aq}$	1M	1000	178±5	1.7
		0	277	2.6
	0.1M	10000	53	0.6
		5000	95	1
		1000	165	1.6
		0	211	2

---

c) Calculated from Ksv = $k_q t$ using lifetime equation in text.

d) Data for 1* in 1M KCl from ref.[8]

e) No quenching observed in the absence of silver ion.[8]

EMISSION AND ABSORPTION SPECTRA
$Ru(bpz)_3^{2+}/KNO_3$

Ag/Ru=0
λ_F=600nm →

Ag/Ru=10,000
λ_F=620nm →

Ag/Ru=0
AND
Ag/Ru=10,000

ABSORPTION

EMISSION

400   500   600   700

WAVELENGTH, nm

Y-axis: Lifetime ns. (Thousands)

X-axis: [AgI]/[Ru] (Thousands)

Legend: CH3CN □   Water ◇

Stern-Volmer slope, Ksv
(Thousands)

E(Q/Q+)